



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/784,376		02/23/2004	Arvind Sundararajan	BEAS-01391US1	8926
23910	7590	04/10/2006		EXAMINER	
FLIESLER	MEYER	R, LLP	PATEL, MANGLESH M		
FOUR EME	BARCADI	ERO CENTER			
SUITE 400				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SAN FRAN	CISCO, O	CA 94111	2178		

DATE MAILED: 04/10/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/784,376	SUNDARARAJAN ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Manglesh M. Patel	2178					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DARWING STATES OF THE MAILING DARWING STATES OF THE	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>23 February 2004</u> .						
,	, <u> </u>						
• •	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 23 February 2004 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objecte drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Aug 17, 2005.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/784,376 Page 2

Art Unit: 2178

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. This <u>Non-Final</u> action is responsive to communications: IDS filed on August 17, 2005 to the application filed on February 23, 2004.
- 2. Claims 1-10 are pending. Claims 1 and 5-10 are independent claims.
- 3. Acknowledgement is made to applicant's claim for priority to U.S. Provisional Application Serial No. 60/450082, filed on 02/25/03.

Information Disclosure Statement

4. The information disclosure statements (IDS) submitted on 8/17/2005, 01/23/05, 03/28/05, 03/24/05, 02/24/05, 02/10/05 and 12/09/04 has been entered, and considered by the examiner.

Patent U.S. 6,569,693 listed in IDS 02/24/05 has not been considered because it discloses the wrong patent number and the inventor differs from Borwankar.

Drawings

5. The Drawings filed on February 23, 2004 have been approved.

Specification

6. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 10/784,376

Art Unit: 2178

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

7. The specification is objected to because it exceeds 150 words. In addition paragraph 47 should be removed; it does not belong in the abstract since it directs the reader to the specification thereby containing phrases that can be implied.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

8. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Regarding Independent claim 7, which describes, "A computer program product for execution by a server computer" the claim fails to include a computer-readable medium. The program product should be embodied on a computer-readable medium. Simply changing the claim to recite "a program product embodied on a computer-readable medium for execution by a server computer" will overcome the rejection.

Regarding Independent claim 10, recites "A computer data signal embodied in a transmission medium" the medium is not limited to tangible embodiments. Instead describing intangible embodiment (data signal). As such, the claim is not limited to statutory subject matter and is therefore non-statutory.

Application/Control Number: 10/784,376 Page 4

Art Unit: 2178

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

9. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

10. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Song (NPL—REPOX: An XML Repository For Workflow Designs And Specifications, University of Georgia, 2001, pgs 1-60).

Regarding Independent claims 1, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9 and 10, Song discloses a system for transforming between data shapes, comprising:

- The use of a query language adapted to extract information from a first data shape and generate a representation of a second data shape (page 27, section 6.3, wherein the workflow definitions are queried thereby including a query language. The repoX repository also supports XQuery language as described on pages 32 paragraph 2 and 34 paragraphs 1-2. The shapes represent the objects as described in page 7 paragraph 1. Page 16 paragraph 3 describes the transformation using XSLT, thereby providing a representation of the object for mapping to a different format);
- The use of a query engine adapted to generate the default mapping between the representation and the second data shape (page 27, section 6.3 wherein a query tool which inherently includes a query engine is used to extract the workflow definitions

and the XSLT on Page 16 paragraph 3 is used for mapping the object to different formats).

Page 5

Regarding Dependent claim 2, with Dependency of claim 1, Song discloses wherein: the query language is an XML query language (The repoX repository also supports XQuery language as described on pages 32 paragraph 2 and 34 paragraphs 1-2.).

Regarding Dependent claim 3, which depends on claim 1, Song discloses wherein: at least one of the first and second data shapes is an XML data shape (XSLT on Page 16 paragraph 3 is used for mapping the object to different formats. The objects are the XML data shapes which are transformed from an xml object in one format to an xml object in another format).

Regarding Dependent claim 4, with dependency of claim 1, Song discloses a wherein: at least one of the first and second data shapes is a Java data shape (pages 17-18, wherein a java representation is created from the xml element).

It is noted that any citation [[s]] to specific, pages, columns, lines, or figures in the prior art references and any interpretation of the references should not be considered to be limiting in any way. A reference is relevant for all it contains and may be relied upon for all that it would have reasonably suggested to one having ordinary skill in the art.

[[See, MPEP 2123]]

Application/Control Number: 10/784,376

Art Unit: 2178

Conclusion

Page 6

Other Prior Art Cited

- 11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
 - Upton (U.S. Pub 2003/0182452) discloses "System And Method For Implementing A Schema Object Model In Application Integration"
 - NPL ("Orchestrating Business Processes With BizTalk Server 2000", Microsoft
 TechNet, Feb 1, 2001, pg 1-19)

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Manglesh M. Patel whose telephone number is (571) 272-5937. The examiner can normally be reached on M, W 6 am-3 pm T, TH 6 am-2pm, Fr 9am-6pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen S. Hong can be reached on (571) 272-4124. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Manglesh M. Patel Patent Examiner March 30, 2006

> CESAR PAULA' PRIMARY EXAMINER